University of Bonn – Institute for Political Science and Sociology Prof. Dr. Uwe Holtz - Am Hofgarten 15, 53113 Bonn uwe.holtz@uni-bonn.de - www.uwe-holtz.uni-bonn.de

URL cf. <a href="https://www.uwe-holtz.uni-bonn.de/virtueller-apparat/virt.-apparat/positiv-und-negativfaktoren-fuer-entwicklung-sfortschritte-2020/view">https://www.uwe-holtz.uni-bonn.de/virtueller-apparat/virt.-apparat/positiv-und-negativfaktoren-fuer-entwicklung-sfortschritte-2020/view</a>

Discussion Paper in Master Seminars (University of Bonn; Bonn-Rhein-Sieg University of Applied Sciences)

as of 2022.01.16

## Why countries are rich or poor and belonging to different income groups?

Cf. U. Holtz (2010): Die Millenniumsentwicklungsziele – eine gemischte Bilanz [The Millennium Development Goals – a mixed balance], in: Aus Politik und Zeitgeschichte/APuZ, 8 March 2010, p. 3-8.

U. Holtz (2013): Die Millenniumsentwicklungsziele [The MDGs], in: H. Ihne/J. Wilhelm (eds.): Einführung in die Entwicklungspolitik [Introduction to development Politics], Berlin pp. 41-64, 54-57.

U. Holtz (2018): SDGs und demokratische Partizipation. Was ist die Rolle der Parlamente? [SDGs and democratic participation. What is the role of parliaments?, in: Tobias Debiel (ed.): Entwicklungspolitik in Zeiten der SDGs. Essays zum 80. Geburtstag von Franz Nuscheler, Duisburg, Bonn - April 2018, pp. 93-98 [www.sef-bonn.org/fileadmin/SEF-Dateiliste/04 Publikationen/Weitere Publikationen/Sonderpublikationen/sb-2018 essayband-nuscheler de.pdf, in English www.uwe-holtz.uni-bonn.de/virtueller-apparat/virt.-apparat/2030agenda-SDGs-Parliaments/view]

A huge literature was and is dealing with these questions. Since decades Holtz has been trying to contribute to this discussion. After a chart that classifies the so-called developing/recipient countries by income groups, he makes a bold attempt to list positives and negative factors for (mal-)development in brief theses. (an ongoing working process)

DAC List of ODA Recipients Effective for reporting on 2018, 2019 and 2020 flows			
Least Developed Countries	Other Low Income Countries	Lower Middle Income Countries	Upper Middle Income Countries
	(per capita GNI <= \$1 005 in 2016)	and Territories (per capita GNI \$1 006-\$3 955	and Territories (per capita GNI \$3 956-\$12 235
Afghanistan	Domonatic Bosslele Bossellie of Vosco	in 2016) Armenia	in 2016) Albania
Angola <sup>1</sup>	Democratic People's Republic of Korea Zimbabwe	Bolivia	Algeria
Bangladesh	Zimoabwe	Cabo Verde	Antigua and Barbuda <sup>2</sup>
Benin		Cameroon	Argentina
3hutan		Congo	Azerbaijan
Burkina Faso		Côte d'Ivoire	Belarus
Burundi		Egypt	Belize
Cambodia 🔨 🔨		El Salvador	Bosnia and Herzegovina
Central African		Georgia	Botswana
Chad		Ghana	Brazil
Comoros		Guatema	China (People's Republic of)
Democratic Republic of the Congo		Honduras	Colombia
Djibouti		India	Cook Islands <sup>3</sup>
Eritrea		Indonesia	Costa Rica
Ethiopia		Jordan	Cuba
Gambia Guinea		Kenya Kosovo	Dominica Popublic
Guinea-Bissau		Kyrgyzstan	Dominican Republic Ecuador
Haiti		Micronesia	Equatorial Guinea
Ciribati		Moldova	Fiji
ao People's Democratic Republic		Mongolia	Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedon
Lesotho		Morocco	Gabon
Liberia		Nicaragua	Grenada
Madagascar		Nigeria	Guyana
Malawi		Pakistan	Iran
Mali >>>		Papua New Guinea	Iraq
Mauritania		Philippines	Jamaica
Mozambique		Sri Lanka	Kazakhstan
Myanmar Nepal		Swaziland Syrian Arab Republic	Lebanon Libya
Niger		Tajikistan	Malaysia
Rwanda		Tokelau	Maldives
Sao Tome and Princip		Tunisia	Marshall Islands
Senegal		Ukraine	Mauritius
Sierra Leone		Uzbekistan	Mexico
Solomon Islands		Viet Nam	Montenegro
Somalia		West Bank and Gaza Strip	Montserrat
South Sudan			Namibia
Sudan			Nauru
l'anzania			Niue
Timor-Leste			Palau <sup>2</sup>
Fogo			Panama
Γuvalu Jganda			Paraguay Peru
Jganda √anuatu¹			Saint Helena
ranuatu remen			Saint Lucia
Zambia		l	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
			Samoa
		l	Serbia
			South Africa
			Suriname
			Thailand
			Tonga
47	1 1	37	Turkey 57
4/	<b>1</b>	<i>31</i>	Turkmenistan
			Venezuela
	ı	ı	Wallis and Futuna

totalling 152 countries

## Positive factors supportive or responsible for

## development

- 1. A development-oriented behaviour of governments and elites with strong parliaments, which includes the participation of the population (incl. civil society) and its ownership (in line with sustainable, human, resilient, healthy development ensuring a life of dignity for all)
- 2. Capable governments, effective administrations and institutions at all levels based on democracy, human rights, the rule of law, and good governance
- 3. Fight against poverty by a national framework setting, which promotes the private sector and doesn't gag it (in line with a social and ecological market economy)
- 4. Advancement of education, vocational training, knowledge, science, cognitive capabilities and capacity building
- 5. Material and immaterial infrastructure equipment incl. ICTs

- 6. Mobilization of domestic (financial) resources
- 7. Development of a favourable mental and cultural enabling environment
- 8. Acknowledgment of the important role of women
- 9. Sustainable industrialization, stimulation of a broad-based, sustained, anti-poverty growth and making use of the international division of labour
- 10. Containment of rapid population growth
- 11. Efficient use of Official Development Assistance (ODA) in the sense of ownership and help for self-help
- 12. Internal peace and an enabling international environment

## Two cause bundles are responsible for lagging behind:

- A. Factors, which were / are particularly virulent after the adoption of the Millennium Declaration in 2000 and of the 2030 Agenda in 2015:
- 1. After the terrorist attacks from September 2001 some industrialized countries prioritized rather military and geopolitical aspects than civilian and socio-economic development ("securitization of development policy")
- 2. The significant increase of failed, collapsed states and of 'new' wars, violent conflicts and jihadism
- 3. The climate change with its growing negative effects
- 4. The energy, food as well as financial and economic crises or the recent COVID-19 pandemic
- 5. Migratory movements towards some 'donor' countries lead sometimes to a "migrationization" of their development policy

- B. Factors, which were always of great importance and must be considered, if a turn to the better is intended:
- 1. The population growth (1990: 5,3 billion; 2021: 7,9 bn, 2050: 9,8 bn) (U.H.: The best pill for development is development.)
- 2. Corrupt and kleptocratic elites, clientelism
- 3. Bad governance and poor performance
- 4. The neglect of the rural areas and (traditional) agriculture
- 5. A development-hostile environment (the non-recognition of education, training and knowledge as well as of tolerant cultures open for change)

- 6. Difficult internal situations (fragility, land locked, military conflicts, hostile ecological systems ...)
- 7. Relying on fossil energies instead of renewables
- 8. Legacy of colonialism (in particular in some African countries: arbitrarily drawn borders, destruction of self-confidence, supplier of raw materials)
- 9. The developed countries unwillingness to build up a truly fair trade and financial global system too often unsuitable recipes of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank
- 10. Despite several efforts to increase ODA and its efficiency, the insufficiency and unwillingness of some industrialized countries to undertake serious, strong steps in the direction of a quantitatively higher and qualitatively better development policy

In any case, there is neither a 'one size fits all' recipe nor a scientific ready-made meal.